Report for ASSP Advisory Group Post Summary Evaluation

Response Counts

|			
Completion Rate:	**100%**		
	Complete		97

Totals: 97

1. How satisfied are you with the information presented at and discussed during the Advisory Group meeting?

2% Somewhat dissatisfied

6% Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

36% Somewhat satisfied

56% Very satisfied

Value		Percent	Responses
Very satisfied		55.8%	53
Somewhat satisfied		35.8%	34
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		6.3%	6
Somewhat dissatisfied		2.1%	2

Totals: 95

2. Onboarding/Next Steps presentation was helpful

12% Neutral

33% Strongly agree

56% Agree

Value		Percent	Responses
Strongly agree		32.6%	31
Agree		55.8%	53
Neutral		11.6%	11

Totals: 95

3. Breakout room discussions were valuable to me

1% Strongly disagree
1% Disagree
24% Neutral
32% Strongly agree
42% Agree

Value		Percent	Responses
Strongly agree		31.6%	30
Agree		42.1%	40
Neutral		24.2%	23
Disagree		1.1%	1
Strongly disagree		1.1%	1

Totals: 95

4. Is there anything else about the meeting that worked well for you or that could be enhanced for the next Advisory Group meeting?

ResponseID	Response
2	47 people in 1 document created some issues. the ability to share screen in the breakout would have had less people in the document
5	I liked the focused questions for the breakout group. Not sure if they were visible in the chat once we were in the group but making them visible and maybe...also assigning a group leader to focus the discussion.
6	Clearer direction on resources available to accomplish the expectations.
7	I have such a long rod to catch up with any members who have been ore involved for more years. This was a great way to start!
8	Short intro or bio of new staff members who will be working with the Group.
9	I thought the meeting to be well organized. I would like to see the Group post some social media testimonials that help those skeptical of the new governance process understand it better.
10	Suggest longer time and more clear direction for break out room
11	Wish the break out rooms had more time
13	I thought the meeting went well. There were some issues with accessing the link for taking notes during the breakout session but other than that it went well.
14	I wouldn't change anything.
15	The meeting was too heavily frontloaded loaded with with background info of ASSP strategy, and lobbying. The content that would have been important to me that sounds like it was at the tail end, I had to miss due to other commitments. In order to best engage the team, provide us with the what, and how up front, and fill in later with the why.
18	A tentative schedule of all required meetings would be helpful for planning purposes.
20	Breakout room
23	Break out rooms could have been more organized and directed
24	It will be appreciated if the discussion across the breakout sessions are shared with all provide information sharing and understanding of the varying group views.

| ResponseID	Response
29	glad to have a new computer to assist with desktop issues
30	Breakout rooms were good...time was short and can consider having 3 minutes for each participant
31	none at this moment
33	Well organized - liked having an agenda and time limits. Also, clear objectives for the Advisory Group are extremely valuable. Were there minutes taken? If not, it would be helpful to have a dedicated scribe and an electronic file of minutes.
34	thought engagement and excitement was palpable through the chat room.
35	The breakout sessions were very easy to navigate and they allowed real participation.
36	No
38	The breakout groups were very helpful
41	It is an amazing group of humans assembled to make our profession top notch.
43	Not at this time. I look forward to future meetings.
44	Seek to tap/attract opportunities for all Practice Specialties in the Advisory Group discussions.
46	I think our group was still a little unclear on what was being asked of us. We know it was to make sure we were representing our chapters/groups/etc., but are there specific asks? Or were the break out rooms indicative of what we can expect in the future?
47	Length of presentation / zoom was appropriate (1.5 hours) . Also, the time of day was great for me (CDT).
49	Having the agenda go out before the meeting is helpful to prepare. For the more "meatier" topics, having the agenda go out earlier so we can talk to others in our local chapters/networks would help us bring more viewpoints to the table.
50	Meeting times should be capped at 60 mins

ResponseID	Response
53	I think the breakout rooms were very valuable, but short on time. I believe i saw a chat question that said the slide deck will be sent out, along with an agenda recap, which will be good. I would suggest sending out a list of resources (links that were discussed) to the group. I caught myself trying to explore on the website during the presentation for the sog's and directions for the group.
54	Keep the combination of info presentation plus breakout rooms. There's valuable info that just needs to be broadcasted, but my group really appreciated the time to compare notes and then the prompted questions became a good discussion.
57	Great information in presentation
58	The value of networking cannot be over emphasised. Additionally, is the road map for the start up of this all important meeting. As for what could be enhanced for the next Advisory Group meeting, I want to suggest we begin to look into sustainable development goals if that has not already been in plan on what role we need to play as a global body.
60	I was not able to attend the meeting. I never received the link to attend the meeting.
62	The breakout discussion time was too short. It was too much "to the point," with limited opportunity for discussion.
63	More detailed financial information
65	I think this group is too large
66	Breakout Room introduces to wonderful professionals and a chance to network
68	The breakout room discussions
78	More data would be helpful in making decisions.
79	Next steps information could be provided at/just after the meeting close.
82	It was focused and moved along in an efficient manner.
83	Greater specificity in expectations or a more narrow focus of discussion.
85	Keep the team building with the break out groups. Building the Advisory group as a collaborative team will help the group be successful. Slack (or something similar) would also be a good tool to build the team. Having the document to enter notes was genius. I did have a mild heart attack when my note disappeared but they came back.

ResponseID	Response
86	Thanks for asking) Please provide a press release to send upon request for Chapters, Practice Specialties & interested parties or allow / encourage us to share the Agenda. 2) Please make it easy to link Advisory Group attendees with ASSP Community profiles 3) Please provide attendance / attendee lists. Thanks again. Yours in safety, Amy Stewart CSP
88	Milestone and/or objectives established for meeting agenda
91	I think the sharepoint was a neat idea but did not work as well in practice. Some groups just did not get it. It might have been good to have a survey monkey link that each group could submit to and work within. That way all responses are recorded but there is less of a risk to information. The online space sounds like pure chaos and I think actually takes away from the experience.
93	The information and explanation was awesome for such a large group.
94	I know we don't know where we will end up I was just surprised we won't be meeting more often especially being virtual to ensure we all get everything needed to make this a huge benefit to all members and to those we have lost. Going to take more effort I believe and more time here at start.
95	Initial meeting was mostly an info session. Good to hear the background and path to the creation of the Advisory Board. The breakout discussion was good. Interested to see what the combined feedback reveals as the most common concerns.

5. Would you use an online collaboration space to connect with other Advisory Group members, the Operations Committee, Board Members and staff?

Value		Percent	Responses
Yes		92.6%	87
No		7.4%	7

Totals: 94

6. Is there anything you did not get to discuss during the meeting that you would like us to know about?

ResponseID	Response
2	No, I think it was beneficial.
6	Not at this time
8	Yes - a suggestion for a Council change in the governance revision. As our strategy is focused in several areas on our lifeblood - MEMBERS - I would respectfully suggest a revision of the CORA (Council on Regional Affairs) to COME (Council on Member Engagement) - our Regions (and Chapters) are supposed to be the members conduit (both ways) to our membership. Let's strengthen that conduit with a revision to the name and focus of the Council. Shift the focus from activities and awards for Chapters and Regions in support of our members to the needs of our members and value added services in support of our members hopefully through the Chapters and Regions. I am willing and ready to discuss this idea in more detail as desired.
11	N/A
13	No
14	No
18	I forgot to mention one of the challenges as a Safety professional is procedure/policy adherence/enforcement with union employees.
20	Forthcoming issues of concern for the profession
24	None . How it is important have system in place to reach out to old members that are inactive across the global to help support engagement with young professionals in their area or region.
29	not at this time
30	There would have been Q&A session
31	no, really enjoyed the info shared
33	With such a large membership, perhaps breakout room discussions could offer maybe 5 or 10 more minutes? I attempted to facilitate for my group but we didn't have enough time for every group member to offer input.
35	I would like a roster of the folks that I generally would represent if that makes sense? I am a member of both the San Diego Chapter and the Los Angeles Chapter and do not want to duplicate efforts or cross hairs with the Advisory Group Members that represent them? Just want to be sure I am reaching out to the right group of folks when necessary.

| ResponseID	Response
36	No
41	N/A
43	No
44	Training & Communications Practice Specialty.
47	More about the expected deliverables along with how to measure success
49	Not that I can think of right now.
50	NA
53	I would like to have a chance to review the shared document with the questions. This will help with agenda development for next meeting
54	Nope!
55	I do not believe that the "advisory group" will enhance the operation of the Society.
57	No
58	None
63	Good start
71	Breakout session was not long enough for everyone to fully participate, even though we stayed on task. I think for the first meeting the right topics were covered
78	No.
79	NA
82	Expanded opportunities for Advisory Committee members to bring the concerns of them selves/their chapter/their Common Interest group to the attention of the BOD.
83	The concensus in the breakout room was a focus on employee retention rather than a shift to systemic evaluation of the training system or areas to address. "Blame the worker" is a very outdated idea that I was disappointed to hear echoed by participants of this group.
86	Plenty

ResponseID	Response
91	What are the next steps for oversight committee
93	no
94	List of all members so we can collaborate. As well for those of us representing the general group are their expectations of us to take back to other groups not really represented in this group?
95	I'm curious as to how the larger group will be divided into the subcommittee focus groups. It may have been mentioned. Alas, I do not recall at this time.

7. Describe your Advisory Group Role

33% Chapter Elected Advisory Group Member

45% Member at Large

8% Practice Specialty Elected Advisory Group Member

3% Common Interest Group Elected Advisory Group Member

11% Other Elected Leader (excluding Board of Director)

Value		Percent	Responses
Chapter Elected Advisory Group Member		32.6%	31
Practice Specialty Elected Advisory Group Member		8.4%	8
Common Interest Group Elected Advisory Group Member		3.2%	3
Other Elected Leader (excluding Board of Director)		10.5%	10
Member at Large		45.3%	43

Totals: 95