ANSI/ASSP/ISO/IEC 31010-2019

Risk Management – Risk Assessment Techniques



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF SAFETY PROFESSIONALS



The information and materials contained in this publication have been developed from sources believed to be reliable. However, the American Society of Safety Professionals (ASSP) as technical advisory group (TAG) administrator of the TC262 or individual TAG members accept no legal responsibility for the correctness or completeness of this material or its application to specific factual situations. By publication of this standard, ASSP or the US TAG to TC262 does not ensure that adherence to these recommendations will protect the safety or health of any persons or preserve property.

ANSI® ANSI/ASSP/ISO/IEC 31010 – 2019

American National Standard

Risk Management – Risk Assessment Techniques

Secretariat

American Society of Safety Professionals 520 N. Northwest Highway Park Ridge, Illinois 60068

Approved November 26, 2019

American National Standards Institute

American National Standard

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer. Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution. The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he/she has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards. The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstance give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

Caution Notice: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Published December 2019 by

American Society of Safety Professionals 520 N. Northwest Highway Park Ridge, IL 60068 (847) 699-2929 • www.assp.org

Copyright ©2019 by American Society of Safety Professionals All Rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard ANSI/ASSP/ISO/IEC 31010 – 2019.)

This standard was developed by an American National Standards Committee (United States Technical Advisory Group to ANSI or ISO/TC262), in concert with the standards organizations and liaisons of the TC262 acting within the ISO Directives. The committee, which is national in scope, functions under the Essential Requirements Document of the American National Standards Institute with the American Society of Safety Professionals (ASSP) as Secretariat. This standard provides risk management principles and guidelines

This standard is an identical adoption of the from ISO/IEC 31010:2019, an international standard also titled "Risk Management – Risk Assessment Techniques." This document was approved as an international standard in May 2019. This standard replaces American National Standard ANSI/ASSP Z690.3-2011.

It is intended that the procedures and performance requirements detailed herein will be adopted by every employer whose operations fall within the scope and purpose of the standard.

Neither the standards committee, nor the secretariat, feel that this standard is perfect or in its ultimate form. It is recognized that new developments are to be expected, and that revisions of the standard will be necessary as the art progresses and further experience is gained. It is felt, however, that uniform requirements are very much needed and that the standard in its present form provides for the minimum performance requirements necessary in developing and implementing risk management programs.

During August 2019 the United States TAG (Technical Advisory Group) to ANSI for risk management reached consensus that this document should be adopted as American National Standards. Due to the ongoing significant interest being focused on risk management at the international level, additional consensus was reached that there should also be a committee looking at risk management standards for the United States. Such a committee would function under accreditation of ASSP as a standards developing organization (SDO).

Public review of the document was then conducted during November 2019. There were no negative comments submitted to ASSP as the secretariat. All committee votes for adoption were positive without any submitted negative comments.

At the time this standard was approved, the Technical Advisory Group (TAG) had the following members:

Erike Young, CSP, ARM-E, Chair Bruce Lyon, P.E., CSP, ARM, CHMM, Vice-Chair Lauren Bauerschmidt, MS Engr, CSP, STS, Secretary Ovidiu Munteanu, Assistant Secretary Jennie Dalesandro, Secretary Support

Organization Represented

American Industrial Hygiene Association American Society of Safety Professionals

Arcadis

ARM Study Group Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.

Brazosport College Chubb CNA

ERM31000 Training & Consulting Google Inc. Hays Companies M. Siegel Associates LLC M.C. Dean, Inc.

Oracle

Pfizer Inc.

Pratt & Whitney

Project Management Institute, Inc.

Public Risk Management Association RIMS

State Office of Risk Management

University of California University of Central Missouri

Observing Organization(s) ASSP Risk Assessment Institute

Name of Representative(s)

Paul Esposito, CIH, CSP James Newberry Francis Sehn, CSP, ARM Sandra Johnston Aaron Neal Erike Young, CSP, ARM-E Dorothy Gjerdrum, ARM-P Lisanne Sison Samuel Chamberlain, M.S. Steven Di Pilla, ARM, CSP Jonelle Dubois Lesli Johnson Allen Gluck Jim Campbell Bruce Lyon, P.E., CSP, ARM, CHMM Marc Siegel John Bennett, CHCM Aaron Schoemaker, CSP Lianne Appelt, Sc.D. Mary Weber Steven Meszaros Steve Moore Christine Rutty Thomas Andoh Marvin Nelson, MBA, CAE, SCPM John Zlockie Shannon Gunderman Carol Fox, ARM Julie Cain James Cox Stephen Vollbrecht, J.D. Carrie Frandsen, ARM-E Georgi Popov, Ph.D., ARM, SMS, QEP

Name of Representative(s) Kenneth Daigle Paul Zoubek

CONTENTS

FC	REWO	RD	6		
IN	INTRODUCTION				
1	Scop	e	9		
2	Norm	ative references	9		
3	Term	Terms and definitions9			
4					
	4.1	Uncertainty	10		
	4.2	Risk	11		
5	Uses	of risk assessment techniques	11		
6	Imple	ementing risk assessment	12		
	6.1	Plan the assessment			
	6.1.1	Define purpose and scope of the assessment	12		
	6.1.2	Understand the context	13		
	6.1.3	Engage with stakeholders	13		
	6.1.4				
	6.1.5	······································			
	6.1.6				
	6.2	Manage information and develop models			
	6.2.1				
	6.2.2	3			
	6.2.3				
	6.2.4				
	6.3	Apply risk assessment techniques			
	6.3.1 6.3.2				
	6.3.2				
	6.3.4				
	6.3.5				
	6.3.6				
	6.3.7				
	6.4	Review the analysis			
	6.4.1	Verifying and validating results			
	6.4.2				
	6.4.3				
	6.5	Apply results to support decisions	26		
	6.5.1	Overview	26		
	6.5.2	Decisions about the significance of risk	27		
	6.5.3	Decisions that involve selecting between options	27		
	6.6	Record and report risk assessment process and outcomes	28		
7	Sele	cting risk assessment techniques	28		
	7.1	General	28		
	7.2	Selecting techniques	29		
An	nex A (informative) Categorization of techniques	31		
	A.1	Introduction to categorization of techniques	31		
	A.2	Application of categorization of techniques	31		
	A.3	Use of techniques during the ISO 31000 process	37		

Annex	B (info	rmative) Description of techniques	.40
B.1	Tec	chniques for eliciting views from stakeholders and experts	.40
В.	1.1	General	.40
В.	1.2	Brainstorming	.40
В.	1.3	Delphi technique	.42
В.	1.4	Nominal group technique	.43
В.	1.5	Structured or semi-structured interviews	.44
В.	1.6	Surveys	.45
B.2	Tec	chniques for identifying risk	.46
В.	2.1	General	.46
В.	2.2	Checklists, classifications and taxonomies	.47
В.	2.3	Failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) and failure modes, effects and criticality analysis (FMECA)	.49
В.	2.4	Hazard and operability (HAZOP) studies	.50
В.	2.5	Scenario analysis	. 52
В.	2.6	Structured what if technique (SWIFT)	.54
B.3	Tec	chniques for determining sources, causes and drivers of risk	.55
В.	3.1	General	. 55
В.	3.2	Cindynic approach	. 56
В.	3.3	Ishikawa analysis (fishbone) method	. 58
B.4	Tec	chniques for analysing controls	.60
В.	4.1	General	.60
В.	4.2	Bow tie analysis	.60
В.	4.3	Hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP)	.62
В.	4.4	Layers of protection analysis (LOPA)	.64
B.5	Tec	chniques for understanding consequences and likelihood	.66
В.	5.1	General	.66
В.	5.2	Bayesian analysis	.66
В.	5.3	Bayesian networks and influence diagrams	.68
В.	5.4	Business impact analysis (BIA)	.70
В.	5.5	Cause-consequence analysis (CCA)	.72
В.	5.6	Event tree analysis (ETA)	.74
В.	5.7	Fault tree analysis (FTA)	.76
В.	5.8	Human reliability analysis (HRA)	.78
В.	5.9	Markov analysis	.79
В.	5.10	Monte Carlo simulation	.81
В.	5.11	Privacy impact analysis (PIA) / data protection impact analysis (DPIA)	.83
B.6	Tec	chniques for analysing dependencies and interactions	.85
В.	6.1	Causal mapping	.85
В.	6.2	Cross impact analysis	. 87
B.7	Tec	chniques that provide a measure of risk	.89
В.	7.1	Toxicological risk assessment	.89
В.	7.2	Value at risk (VaR)	
В.	7.3	Conditional value at risk (CVaR) or expected shortfall (ES)	.93
B.8	Tec	chniques for evaluating the significance of risk	.94
В.	8.1	General	.94
В.	8.2	As low as reasonably practicable (ALARP) and so far as is reasonably practicable (SFAIRP)	.94

B.8.3	Frequency-number (F-N) diagrams	96
B.8.4	Pareto charts	
B.8.5	Reliability centred maintenance (RCM)	100
B.8.6	Risk indices	102
B.9 Tec	hniques for selecting between options	
B.9.1	General	103
B.9.2	Cost/benefit analysis (CBA)	104
B.9.3	Decision tree analysis	
B.9.4	Game theory	
B.9.5	Multi-criteria analysis (MCA)	
B.10 Tec	hniques for recording and reporting	111
B.10.1	General	
B.10.2	Risk registers	
B.10.3	Consequence/likelihood matrix (risk matrix or heat map)	
B.10.4	S-curves	
Bibliography		119
Figure A.1 – A	pplication of techniques in the ISO 31000 risk management process [3] .	37
Figure B.1 – E	xample Ishikawa (fishbone) diagram	59
Figure B.2 – E	xample of Bowtie	61
Figure B.3 – A	Bayesian network showing a simplified version of a real ecological	
	elling native fish populations in Victoria, Australia	69
Figure B.4 – E	xample of cause-consequence diagram	73
Figure B.5 – E	Example of event tree analysis	75
Figure B.6 – E	xample of fault tree	77
-	xample of Markov diagram	
	xample of dose response curve	
	Distribution of value	
-	Detail of loss region VaR values	
-	VaR and CVaR for possible loss portfolio	
Figure B.12 –	ALARP diagram	95
Figure B.13 –	Sample F-N diagram	97
Figure B.14 –	Example of a Pareto chart	
	Part example of table defining consequence scales	
•	Part example of a likelihood scale	
	Example of consequence/likelihood matrix	
Figure B.18 –	Probability distribution function and cumulative distribution function	
Table A.1 – C	haracteristics of techniques	31
Table A.2 – Te	echniques and indicative characteristics	
Table A.3 – A	oplicability of techniques to the ISO 31000 process	
	xamples of basic guidewords and their generic meanings	

Table B.2 – Table of deficits for each stakeholder	57
Table B.3 – Table of dissonances between stakeholders	57
Table B.4 – Example of Markov matrix	80
Table B.5 – Examples of systems to which Markov analysis can be applied	81
Table B.6 – An example of RCM task selection	
Table B.7 – Example of a game matrix	

K

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

RISK MANAGEMENT – RISK ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 31010 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 56: Dependability, in co-operation with ISO technical committee 262: Risk management.

It is published as a double logo standard.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2009. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- more detail is given on the process of planning, implementing, verifying and validating the use of the techniques;
- the number and range of application of the techniques has been increased;
- the concepts covered in ISO 31000 are no longer repeated in this standard.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents of IEC:

FDIS	Report on voting
56/1837/FDIS	56/1845/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this International Standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table. In ISO, the standard has been approved by 44 P members out of 46 having cast a vote.

This document has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

This document provides guidance on the selection and application of various techniques that can be used to help improve the way uncertainty is taken into account and to help understand risk.

The techniques are used:

- where further understanding is required about what risk exists or about a particular risk;
- within a decision where a range of options each involving risk need to be compared or optimized;
- within a risk management process leading to actions to treat risk.

The techniques are used within the risk assessment steps of identifying, analysing and evaluating risk as described in ISO 31000, and more generally whenever there is a need to understand uncertainty and its effects.

The techniques described in this document can be used in a wide range of settings, however the majority originated in the technical domain. Some techniques are similar in concept but have different names and methodologies that reflect the history of their development in different sectors. Techniques have evolved over time and continue to evolve, and many can be used in a broad range of situations outside their original application. Techniques can be adapted, combined and applied in new ways or extended to satisfy current and future needs.

This document is an introduction to selected techniques and compares their possible applications, benefits and limitations. It also provides references to sources of more detailed information.

The potential audience for this document is:

- anyone involved in assessing or managing risk;
- people who are involved in developing guidance that sets out how risk is to be assessed in specific contexts;
- people who need to make decisions where there is uncertainty including:
 - those who commission or evaluate risk assessments,
 - those who need to understand the outcomes of assessments, and
 - those who have to choose assessment techniques to meet particular needs.

Organizations that are required to conduct risk assessments for compliance or conformance purposes would benefit from using appropriate formal and standardized risk assessment techniques.

RISK MANAGEMENT – RISK ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES

1 Scope

This International Standard provides guidance on the selection and application of techniques for assessing risk in a wide range of situations. The techniques are used to assist in making decisions where there is uncertainty, to provide information about particular risks and as part of a process for managing risk. The document provides summaries of a range of techniques, with references to other documents where the techniques are described in more detail.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO Guide 73:2009, Risk management - Vocabulary

ISO 31000:2018, Risk management – Guidelines

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 31000:2018, ISO Guide 73:2009 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp

3.1 likelihood

chance of something happening

Note 1 to entry: In risk management terminology, the word "likelihood" is used to refer to the chance of something happening, whether defined, measured or determined objectively or subjectively, qualitatively or quantitatively, and described using general terms or mathematically (such as a probability or a frequency over a given time period).

Note 2 to entry: The English term "likelihood" does not have a direct equivalent in some languages; instead, the equivalent of the term "probability" is often used. However, in English, "probability" is often narrowly interpreted as a mathematical term. Therefore, in risk management terminology, "likelihood" is used with the intent that it should have the same broad interpretation as the term "probability" has in many languages other than English.

[SOURCE: ISO 31000:2018, 3.7]

3.2

opportunity

combination of circumstances expected to be favourable to objectives

Note 1 to entry: An opportunity is a positive situation in which gain is likely and over which one has a fair level of control.